

08/27/2007 15:29 5109816960

CITY OF BERKELEY

PAGE

PAGE 02/03

1 John E. Hill (SBN 45338)
2 LAW OFFICES OF JOHN E. HILL
3 E-mail: johnhill@hill-law-offices.com
4 8105 Edgewater Drive, Suite 100
Oakland, CA 94621
Phone: (510) 588-1000
Fax: (510) 588-1087

5 Attorneys for Plaintiffs FRANK CISNEROS,
1 BEATRICE CISNEROS, AND KASI CISNEROS

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
(SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION)**

**FRANK CISNEROS, BEATRICE
CISNEROS, and KASI CISNEROS,**

Case No. C 07-02788 (JCS)

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO FILE FIRST
AMENDED COMPLAINT**

SGT. T. CURTIN, DET. G. PON,
OFFICER J. LUNA, OFFICER K.
DEBLASI, OFFICER J. LOUIS,
OFFICER R. ONCIANO, and DOES 1
through 50,

Plaintiffs,

SGT. T. CURTIN, DET. G. PON,
OFFICER J. LUNA, OFFICER K.
DEBLASI, OFFICER J. LOUIS,
OFFICER R. ONCIANO, and DOES 1
through 50,

Defendants.

STIPULATION

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BETWEEN the parties that plaintiffs herein may file the attached First Amended Complaint (FAC) adding the claims made in a currently pending tandem state court lawsuit entitled, *Frank Cisneros, Kasi Cisneros and Beatrice Cisneros vs City of Berkeley, Alameda County Superior Court #RG06 281589*. The FAC consolidates this lawsuit against the individual police officer defendants (filed on April 17, 2007) with the tandem state court lawsuit (filed on July 28, 2006) against the City of Berkeley (arising out of the same incident). Therefore, the parties hereby agree that for the purposes of the statute of limitations, the attached FAC will be deemed to have been filed as of (1) July 28, 2006 as to the City, and (2)

08/27/2007 15:29 5109816960

CITY OF BERKELEY

PAGE 03/03

1 April 17, 2007 as to the individual officer defendants. Further, once the FAC is filed, plaintiffs
2 hereby agree to dismiss the tandem state court case pending in Alameda County.

3 Additionally, the parties stipulate that the answers filed on May 29, 2007 and August 8,
4 2007 in this case and the answer filed in the tandem state court case shall be deemed to serve as
5 the answers to the First Amended Complaint in this case.

6 I agree to the foregoing Stipulation.

7 MANUELA ALBUQUERQUE, CITY ATTORNEY
8 MATTHEW J. OREBIC, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

9 Dated: August 27, 2007. By _____ /s/
10 Matthew J. Orebic
11 Attorney for Defendants SGT. T. CURTIN,
12 OFFICER G. PON, OFFICER J. LUNA, OFFICER K.
DEBLASI, OFFICER F. ONCIANO, AND SGT. J. LOUIS

13
14
15 I agree to the foregoing Stipulation

16 LAW OFFICES OF JOHN E. HILL

17 Dated: August 27, 2007. By _____ /s/
18 John E. Hill
19 Counsel for Plaintiffs FRANK CISNEROS,
20 BEATRICE CISNEROS, AND KASTI CISNEROS

21 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 Dated: _____

23
24 JOSEPH C. SPERO
25 United States District Judge